



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: J. Don Chen and Hui Li

Serial No.: 09/041,994

Filed: March 13, 1998

For: A Transcriptional Coactivator of Steroid/Nuclear Receptors and Uses Therefore

Attorney Docket No.: UMM-026

Group Art Unit: 1643

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

Box Missing Parts  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

JUL 13 1998

GROUP 1800

**Certificate of First Class Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Missing Parts, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date set forth below.

June 18, 1998  
Date of Signature and of Mail Deposit

By:

Megan E. Williams  
Megan E. Williams, Ph.D.  
Reg. No. P-43,270  
Agent for Applicant

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION**

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application dated March 30, 1998, Applicant's agent submits herewith the executed Declaration, Petition and Power of Attorney for Patent Application for the above-identified patent application. A check in the amount of **\$1429.00** is enclosed to cover the total amount owed by Applicant including \$65.00 surcharge, \$395.00 basic filing fee, and \$969.00 additional claim fee

based on small entity status. A Verified Statement Claiming Small Entity Status was filed with the provisional application USSN 60/073,674 on May 21, 1998 to which this application claim priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e). A copy of the Verified Statement is enclosed. A copy of Form PTO-1533 is also enclosed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.28(a)(2).

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 during the pendency of this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 12-0080.

Please charge any underpayments or credit any overpayments associated with this communication to our Deposit Account No. 12-0080. A duplicate of this letter is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Megan E. Williams, Ph.D.  
Registration No. P-43,270  
Agent for Applicants

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP  
28 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109  
Tel. (617) 227-7400



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING/RECEIPT DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO./TITLE
--------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

07/041,994 03/13/98 CHEN UMM026

LOANIVE & CREDIT  
20 STATE STREET  
BOSTON MA 02102

DATE MAILED:

### NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION Filing Date Granted

An Application Number and Filing Date have been assigned to this application. The items indicated below, however, are missing. Applicant is given **TWO MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE** within which to file all required items and pay fees required below to avoid abandonment. Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). **If any of items 1 or 3 through 5 are indicated as missing, the SURCHARGE set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(e) of \$65.00 for a small entity in compliance with 37 CFR 1.27, or \$130.00 for a non-small entity, must also be timely submitted in reply to this NOTICE to avoid abandonment.**

**If all required items on this form are filed within the period set above, the total amount owed by applicant as a**

☐ small entity (statement filed) ☒ non-small entity is \$ 3850.00 1429

- ☒ 1. The statutory basic filing fee is:  
☒ missing.  
☐ insufficient.

Applicant must submit \$ 744.00 395 to complete the basic filing fee and/or file a small entity statement claiming such status (37 CFR 1.27).

- ☒ 2. Additional claim fees of \$ 1135 40 969, including any multiple dependent claim fees, are required.

738 \$ 1170.00 for 10 independent claims over 3.

531 \$ 4170.00 for 21 dependent claims over 20.

\$          for multiple dependent claim surcharge.

**Applicant must either submit the additional claim fees or cancel additional claims for which fees are due.**

- ☒ 3. The oath or declaration:  
☐ is missing or unexecuted.  
☐ does not cover the newly submitted items.  
☐ does not identify the application to which it applies.  
☒ does not include the city and state or foreign country of applicant's residence.

An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, including residence information and identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date is required.

- ☒ 4. The signature(s) to the oath or declaration is/are by a person other than inventor or person qualified under 37 CFR 1.42, 1.43 or 1.47.

A properly signed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.

- ☐ 5. The signature of the following joint inventor(s) is missing from the oath or declaration:

An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 listing the names of all inventors and signed by the omitted inventor(s), identifying this application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.

- ☐ 6. A \$50.00 processing fee is required since your check was returned without payment (37 CFR 1.21(m)).  
☐ 7. Your filing receipt was mailed in error because your check was returned without payment.  
☒ 8. The application does not comply with the Sequence Rules.

See attached "Notice to Comply with Sequence Rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825."

- ☐ 9. OTHER:

01 FC:205 65.00 OP  
02 FC:201 395.00 OP  
03 FC:202 738.00 OP  
04 FC:203

Effect the reply and any questions about this notice to "Attention: Box Missing Parts."

**A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the reply.**

Customer Service Center  
Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### §1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.